



---

**From:** Baumel, Christie [mailto:Christie.Baumel@co.snohomish.wa.us]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 18, 2006 11:14 AM  
**To:** connie.dunn@ci.sultan.wa.us; rick.cisar@ci.sultan.wa.us  
**Cc:** john.wilson@bhccconsultants.com; McCarthy, Bill  
**Subject:** Sultan Remand Planning

Hello Connie,

I want to clarify a discussion item at our Thursday meeting. At that meeting, I reiterated the schedule we have been working from through the remand process, which requires documentation of infrastructure planning to the county from the cities by April 30<sup>th</sup>. On the other hand, I encouraged the City of Sultan to continue moving forward with their infrastructure planning throughout 2006. I want to make sure I was clear about the significance of the April 30<sup>th</sup> date in relation to the city's infrastructure planning.

For the purpose of amending our comprehensive plan, we have to issue either a Determination of Significance or a Determination of Nonsignificance for all of the proposals going forward from the remand, reconciliation, and docket processes. Because of the large scope of this work, we must start this analysis in May, and this is the basis of the April 30<sup>th</sup> deadline – we must issue the DS or DNS based on the information we have been given to that date by the cities, and based on the assumption that the draft plans will be adopted.

When I spoke of opportunities to show evidence of additional planning to the County Council after that date, I was referring to the public process that will take place in the fall/winter, as these proposals go through the County Planning Commission and Council hearings. Additional infrastructure planning that has occurred since the county conducted its staff analysis can be submitted to the official record during the public comment period.

Perhaps this was all crystal clear, and I am wasting my breath. But I will feel better knowing that we are all on the same page. I understand that John Wilson is in the process of an editorial revision of the sewer amendment, and I also understand that Bill McCarthy will be providing us with capacity, scope, and timeline information on the proposed treatment plan upgrades. All of this information would be valuable to our staff analysis, which will begin in May. I am copying John and Bill in this email to inquire about the timeline for producing this additional information.

Please contact me if you would like to discuss this further. Thank you.

Christie Baumel  
Snohomish County Planning & Development Services  
(425) 388-3311 x. 2099

-----Original Message-----

**From:** McCarthy, Bill [mailto:BMcCarthy@brwnald.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 18, 2006 12:20 PM

**To:** Baumel, Christie

**Cc:** john.wilson@bhccconsultants.com; connie.dunn@ci.sultan.wa.us; rick.cisar@ci.sultan.wa.us; Jeuch, Corinne

**Subject:** RE: Sultan Remand Planning

Hello Christie –

I do understand the significance of the information needed by Planning and thanks very much for the nice email summary below. As evidence of the City's facility planning effort, its objectives, work products, and schedule, I will provide the following to Connie and the City of Sultan before the April 30 deadline:

1. Brown and Caldwell's scope of work for the Facility Planning project
2. A status report of our progress on each task in the scope of work.
3. A copy of the WWTP Flows and Loads DRAFT technical Memorandum – if we have the final version of Amendment 1 to the General Sewer Plan
4. A schedule showing the planned milestones and deadlines for the project.

If you feel there are other things you wish to see – please let me know.

Best regards,

Bill

**William C. McCarthy, P.E.**

701 Pike St, Suite 1200

Seattle, Washington 98101

Tel: (206) 624-0100

Direct: (206) 749-2303

Fax: (206) 749-2200

---

**Baumel, Christie**

---

**From:** Baumel, Christie  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 27, 2006 11:57 AM  
**To:** 'connie.dunn@ci.sultan.wa.us'; 'rick.cisar@ci.sultan.wa.us'  
**Cc:** Evans, Mary Lynne  
**Subject:** FW: Sultan Remand Planning

Hello Rick and Connie,

I received the updated sewer plan amendment from John Wilson. While this amendment does incorporate the reconciled population numbers, I understand that information about system capacity and timeline will be compiled by Brown and Caldwell. Connie, I recall you also saying that you had some financial information that could add assurance about the construction of this plant update. I am emailing to check in on your progress gathering this information, including the data listed below from Bill McCarthy. Could you email or fax us this information by the end of the week to meet the April 30 deadline? It would be helpful to include this information in our staff analysis of the proposed UGA expansion. I have reattached the To Do list that Mary Lynne provided in February, which provides a more detailed list of the information required for a UGA expansion.

Thank you for your coordination of this. Please contact Mary Lynne or I if you have any questions.

Christie Baumel  
Snohomish County PDS  
(425) 388-3311 x. 2099